

MATRICES OF UNITARY MOMENTS

KEN DYKEMA*, KATE JUSCHENKO

ABSTRACT. We investigate certain matrices composed of mixed, second-order moments of unitaries. The unitaries are taken from C^* -algebras with moments taken with respect to traces, or, alternatively, from matrix algebras with the usual trace. These sets are of interest in light of a theorem of E. Kirchberg about Connes' embedding problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

One fundamental question about operator algebras is Connes' embedding problem, which in its original formulation asks whether every II_1 -factor $\mathcal{M}$ embeds in the ultrapower R^ω of the hyperfinite II_1 -factor R . This is well known to be equivalent to the question of whether all elements of II_1 -factors possess matricial microstates, (which were introduced by Voiculescu [16] for free entropy), namely, whether such elements are approximable in $*$ -moments by matrices. Connes' embedding problem is known to be equivalent to a number of different problems, in large part due to a remarkable paper [6] of Kirchberg. (See also the survey [10], and the papers [11], [12], [13], [1], [14], [3], [7], [15], [5] for results with bearing on Connes' embedding problem.)

In Proposition 4.6 of [6], Kirchberg proved that, in order to show that a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with faithful tracial state τ embeds in R^ω , it would be enough to show that for all n , all unitary elements $U_1, \dots, U_n$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, there is $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and there are $k \times k$ unitary matrices $V_1, \dots, V_n$ such that $|\tau(U_i^* U_j) - \text{tr}_k(V_i^* V_j)| < \epsilon$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where tr_k is the normalized trace on $M_k(\mathbf{C})$. (He also required $|\tau(U_i) - \text{tr}_k(V_i)| < \epsilon$, but this formally stronger condition is easily satisfied by taking the $n+1$ unitaries $U_1, \dots, U_n, U_{n+1} = I$ in $\mathcal{M}$ finding $k \times k$ unitaries $\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_{n+1}$, so that $|\tau(U_i^* U_j) - \text{tr}_k(\tilde{V}_i^* \tilde{V}_j)| < \epsilon$, and letting $V_i = \tilde{V}_{n+1}^* \tilde{V}_i$.) It is, therefore, of interest to consider the set of possible second-order mixed moments of unitaries in such $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ or, equivalently, of unitaries in C^* -algebras with respect to tracial states. (See also [12], where some similar sets were considered by F. Rădulescu.)

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{G}_n$ be the set of all $n \times n$ matrices X of the form

$$X = (\tau(U_i^* U_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \quad (1)$$

as $(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ runs over all n -tuples of unitaries in all C^* -algebras A possessing a faithful tracial state τ .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L10, (15A48).

Key words and phrases. Connes' embedding problem, unitary moments, correlation matrices.

*Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0600814.

Remark 1.2. The set-theoretic difficulties in the phrasing of Definition 1.1 can be evaded by insisting that A be represented on a given separable Hilbert space. Alternatively, let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbf{C}\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$ denote the universal, unital, complex $*$ -algebra generated by unitary elements $U_1, \dots, U_n$. A linear functional ϕ on $\mathfrak{A}$ is positive if $\phi(a^*a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. By the usual Gelfand–Naimark–Segal construction, any such positive functional ϕ gives rise to a Hilbert space $L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ and a $*$ -representation $\pi_\phi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \phi))$. Thus, the set $\mathcal{G}_n$ equals the set of all matrices X as in (1) as τ runs over all positive, tracial, unital, linear functionals τ on $\mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathcal{F}_n$ be the closure of the set

$$\left\{ \left(\text{tr}_k(V_i^* V_j) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \mid k \in \mathbf{N}, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{U}_k \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{U}_k$ is the group of $k \times k$ unitary matrices.

A *correlation matrix* is a complex, positive semidefinite matrix having all diagonal entries equal to 1. Let Θ_n be the set of all $n \times n$ correlation matrices. Clearly, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{G}_n \subseteq \Theta_n.$$

Kirchberg’s result is that Connes’ embedding problem is equivalent to the problem of whether $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{G}_n$ holds for all n .

Proposition 1.4. *For each n ,*

- (i) $\mathcal{F}_n$ and $\mathcal{G}_n$ are invariant under conjugation with $n \times n$ diagonal unitary matrices and permutation matrices,
- (ii) $\mathcal{F}_n$ and $\mathcal{G}_n$ are compact, convex subsets of Θ_n ,
- (iii) $\mathcal{F}_n$ and $\mathcal{G}_n$ are closed under taking Schur products of matrices.

Proof. Part (i) is clear. Note that Θ_n is a norm-bounded subset of $M_n(\mathbf{C})$. That $\mathcal{F}_n$ is closed is evident. That $\mathcal{G}_n$ is closed follows from the description in Remark 1.2 and the fact that a pointwise limit of positive traces on $\mathfrak{A}$ is a positive trace. This proves compactness. Convexity of $\mathcal{F}_n$ follows from by observing that if V is a $k \times k$ unitary and V' is a $k' \times k'$ unitary, then for arbitrary $\ell, \ell' \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\underbrace{V \oplus \dots \oplus V}_{\ell \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{V' \oplus \dots \oplus V'}_{\ell' \text{ times}}$$

can be realized as a block-diagonal $(k\ell + k'\ell') \times (k\ell + k'\ell')$ matrix whose normalized trace is

$$\frac{k\ell}{k\ell + k'\ell'} \text{tr}_k(V) + \frac{k'\ell'}{k\ell + k'\ell'} \text{tr}_{k'}(V').$$

Convexity of $\mathcal{G}_n$ follows because a convex combination of positive traces on $\mathfrak{A}$ is a positive trace. This proves (ii).

Closedness of $\mathcal{F}_n$ under taking Schur products follows by observing that if V and V' are unitaries as above, then $V \otimes V'$ is a $kk' \times kk'$ unitary whose normalized trace is $\text{tr}_k(V) \text{tr}_{k'}(V')$. For $\mathcal{G}_n$, we observe that if U and respectively, U' , are unitaries in C^* -algebras A and A' having tracial states τ and τ' , then the spatial tensor product C^* -algebra $A \otimes A'$ has tracial state $\tau \otimes \tau'$ that takes value $\tau(U) \tau'(U')$ on the unitary $U \otimes U'$. This proves (iii). $\square$

Since it is important to decide whether we have $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{G}_n$ for all n , it is interesting to learn more about the sets $\mathcal{F}_n$. A first question is whether $\mathcal{F}_n = \Theta_n$ holds. In Section 2, we show that this holds for $n = 3$ but fails for $n \geq 4$. The proof relies on a characterization of extreme points of Θ_n , and it uses also the set $\mathcal{C}_n$ of matrices of moments of commuting unitaries. In Section 3 we prove $M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n$, and some further results concerning $\mathcal{C}_n$. In Section 4, we show that $\mathcal{F}_n$ has nonempty interior, as a subset of Θ_n .

2. EXTREME POINTS OF Θ_n AND SOME CONSEQUENCES

The set Θ_n of $n \times n$ correlation matrices is embedded in the affine space consisting of the self-adjoint complex matrices having all diagonal entries equal to 1; it is just the intersection of the set of positive, semidefinite matrices with this space. Every element of Θ_n is bounded in norm by n (cf Remark 2.9), and Θ_n is a compact, convex space. Since, in the space of self-adjoint matrices, every positive definite matrix is the center of a ball consisting of positive matrices, it is clear that the boundary of Θ_n (for $n \geq 2$) consists of singular matrices.

The extreme points of Θ_n and $\Theta_n \cap M_n(\mathbf{R})$ have been studied in [2], [9], [4] and [8]. In this section, we will use an easy characterization of the extreme points of Θ_n to draw some conclusions about matrices of unitary moments. The papers cited above contain the facts about extreme points of Θ_n found below, and have results going well beyond. However, for completeness and for use later in examples, we provide proofs, which are brief.

We also introduce the subset $\mathcal{C}_n$ of $\mathcal{F}_n$, consisting of matrices of moments of commuting unitaries.

This is a convenient place to recall the following standard fact. We include a proof for convenience.

Lemma 2.1. *The set of all $X \in \Theta_n$ of rank r is the set of all frame operators $X = F^*F$ of frames $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, consisting of n unit vectors $f_j \in \mathbf{C}^r$, where $r = \text{rank}(X)$. If, in addition, $X \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$, then the frame $f_1, \dots, f_n$ can be chosen in $\mathbf{R}^r$.*

Proof. Every frame operator F^*F as above clearly belongs to Θ_n and has rank r .

Recall that the support projection of a Hermitian matrix X is the projection onto the orthocomplement of the nullspace of X . Let P be the support projection of X and let $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r > 0$ be the nonzero eigenvalues of X with corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathbf{C}^n$. Let $V : \mathbf{C}^r \rightarrow P(\mathbf{C}^n)$ be the isometry defined by $e_i \mapsto g_i$, where $e_1, \dots, e_r$ are the standard basis vectors of $\mathbf{C}^r$. So $P = VV^*$. Then $X = F^*F$, where F is the $r \times n$ matrix

$$F = V^*X^{1/2} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)^{1/2}V^*.$$

If $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{C}^r$ are the columns of F , then $\|f_i\| = X_{ii} = 1$ and the linear span of $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is $\mathbf{C}^r$. Thus, $f_1, \dots, f_n$ comprise a frame.

If X is real, then the vectors $g_1, \dots, g_r$ can be chosen in $\mathbf{R}^n$. Then V and $X^{1/2}$ are real matrices and $f_1, \dots, f_n$ are in $\mathbf{R}^r$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $X \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ be a positive semidefinite matrix and let P be the support projection of X . Then a Hermitian $n \times n$ matrix Y has the property that there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $X + tY$ is positive semidefinite for all $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ if and only if $Y = PYP$.*

Proof. If $X = 0$ then this is trivially true, so suppose $X \neq 0$. After conjugating with a unitary, we may without loss of generality assume $P = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $\text{rank}(X) = \text{rank}(P) = r$. Then PXP , thought of as an $r \times r$ matrix, is positive definite. By continuity of the determinant, we see that if $Y = PYP$, then Y enjoys the property described above.

Conversely, if $Y \neq PYP$, then we may choose two standard basis vectors e_i and e_j for $i \leq r < j$, such that the compressions of X and Y to the subspace spanned by e_i and e_j are given by the matrices

$$\hat{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & c \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $x > 0$, $a, c \in \mathbf{R}$ and $b \in \mathbf{C}$ with c and b not both zero. But

$$\det(\hat{X} + t\hat{Y}) = txc + t^2(ac - |b|^2).$$

If $c \neq 0$, then $\det(\hat{X} + t\hat{Y}) < 0$ for all nonzero t sufficiently small in magnitude and of the appropriate sign, while if $c = 0$ then $b \neq 0$ and $\det(\hat{X} + t\hat{Y}) < 0$ for all $t \neq 0$. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $X \in \Theta_n$ and let P be the support projection of X . A necessary and sufficient condition for X to be an extreme point of Θ_n is that there be no nonzero Hermitian $n \times n$ matrix Y having zero diagonal and satisfying $Y = PYP$. Consequently, if X is an extreme point of Θ_n , then $\text{rank}(X) \leq \sqrt{n}$.*

Proof. X is an extreme point of Θ_n if and only if there is no nonzero Hermitian $n \times n$ matrix Y such that $X + tY \in \Theta_n$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$ sufficiently small in magnitude. Now use Lemma 2.2 and the fact that Θ_n consists of the positive semidefinite matrices with all diagonal values equal to 1.

For the final statement, if $r = \text{rank}(X)$ then the set of Hermitian matrices with support projection under P is a real vector space of dimension r^2 , while the space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices with zero diagonal has dimension $n^2 - n$. If $r^2 > n$, then the intersection of these two spaces is nonzero. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *Let $X \in \Theta_n$. Suppose $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a frame consisting of n unit vectors in $\mathbf{C}^r$, where $r = \text{rank}(X)$, so that $X = F^*F$ with $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is the corresponding frame operator. (See Lemma 2.1.) Then X is an extreme point of Θ_n if and only if the only $r \times r$ self-adjoint matrix Z satisfying $\langle Zf_j, f_j \rangle = 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is the zero matrix.*

Proof. Since F is an $r \times n$ matrix of rank r , the map $M_r(\mathbf{C})_{s.a.} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbf{C})_{s.a.}$ given by $Z \mapsto F^*ZF$ is an injective linear map onto $PM_n(\mathbf{C})_{s.a.}P$, where P is the support projection of X . If $Y = F^*ZF$, then $Y_{jj} = \langle Zf_j, f_j \rangle$. Thus, the condition for X to be extreme now follows from the characterization found in Proposition 2.3. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and suppose $X \in \Theta_n$ satisfies $\text{rank}(X) = 1$. Then X is an extreme point of Θ_n and $X \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Moreover, using the notation introduced in Remark 1.2, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{conv}\{X \in \Theta_n \mid \text{rank}(X) = 1\} = \\ & = \{(\tau(U_i^* U_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \mid \tau : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \text{ a positive trace, } \tau(1) = 1, \pi_\tau(\mathfrak{A}) \text{ commutative}\} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and this set is closed in Θ_n .

Notation 2.6. We let $\mathcal{C}_n$ denote the set given in (2). Thus, we have $\mathcal{C}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n$. Moreover, (cf Remark 1.2), $\mathcal{C}_n$ is the set of matrices as in (1) where $(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ run over all n -tuples of commuting unitaries in C^* -algebras A with faithful tracial state τ .

Proof of Proposition 2.5. By Lemma 2.1, we have $X = F^* F$ where $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ for complex numbers f_j with $|f_j| = 1$. Using Proposition 2.4, we see immediately that X is an extreme point of Θ_n . Thinking of each f_j as a 1×1 unitary, we have $X \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and, moreover, $X = (\tau(U_i^* U_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, where $\tau : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is the character defined by $\tau(U_i) = f_i$; in fact, it is apparent that every character on $\mathfrak{A}$ yields a rank one element of Θ_n . Since the set of traces τ on $\mathfrak{A}$ having $\pi_\tau(\mathfrak{A})$ commutative is convex, this implies the inclusion $\subseteq$ in (2).

That the left-hand-side of (2) is compact follows from Caratheodory's theorem, because the rank one projections form a compact set. If $\tau : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a positive trace with $\tau(1) = 1$ and $\pi_\tau(\mathfrak{A})$ commutative, then $\tau = \psi \circ \pi_\tau$ for a state ψ on the C^* -algebra completion of $\pi_\tau(\mathfrak{A})$. Since every state on a unital, commutative C^* -algebra is in the closed convex hull of the characters of that C^* -algebra, τ is itself the limit in norm of a sequence of finite convex combinations of characters of $\mathfrak{A}$. Thus, $X = (\tau(U_i^* U_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is the limit of a sequence of finite convex combinations of rank one elements of Θ_n , and we have $\supseteq$ in (2). $\square$

Remark 2.7. We see immediately from (2) that $\mathcal{C}_n$ is a closed convex set that is closed under conjugation with diagonal unitary matrices and permutation matrices; also, since the set of rank one elements of Θ_n is closed under taking Schur products, so is the set $\mathcal{C}_n$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{C}_n$ lies in a vector space of real dimension $m := n^2 - n$, by Caratheodory's theorem every element of $\mathcal{C}_n$ is a convex combination of not more than $m + 1$ rank one elements of Θ_n .

An immediate application of Propositions 2.3 and 2.5 is the following.

Corollary 2.8. *The extreme points of Θ_3 are precisely the rank one elements of Θ_3 . Moreover, we have*

$$\mathcal{C}_3 = \mathcal{F}_3 = \mathcal{G}_3 = \Theta_3.$$

Remark 2.9. Let $X \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and take A , τ and $U_1, \dots, U_n$ as in Definition 1.1 so that (1) holds, and assume without loss of generality that τ is faithful on A . If we identify $M_n(A)$ with $A \otimes M_n(\mathbf{C})$, then we have $X = n(\tau \otimes \text{id}_{M_n(\mathbf{C})})(P)$, where P is

the projection

$$P = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} U_1^* \\ U_2^* \\ \vdots \\ U_n^* \end{pmatrix} (U_1 \ U_2 \ \cdots \ U_n)$$

in $M_n(A)$. If $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)^t \in \mathbf{C}^n$ is such that $Xc = 0$, then this yields $\tau(Z^*Z) = 0$, where $Z = c_1 U_1 + \dots + c_n U_n$. Since τ is a faithful, we have $Z = 0$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. If $X \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\text{rank}(X) \leq 2$, then $X \in \mathcal{C}_n$.*

Proof. If $\text{rank}(X) = 1$, then this follows from Proposition 2.5, so assume $\text{rank}(X) = 2$. Let $\tau : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a positive, unital trace such that $X = (\tau(U_i^* U_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ and let $\pi_\tau : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \tau))$ be the $*$ -representation as described in Remark 1.2. Let $\sigma : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \pi_\tau(\mathfrak{A})$ be the $*$ -representation defined by $\sigma(U_i) = \pi_\tau(U_1)^* \pi_\tau(U_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let $\tau' = \tau \circ \sigma$. Then τ' is a positive, unital trace on $\mathfrak{A}$ and the matrix $(\tau'(U_i^* U_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is equal to X . Furthermore, $\pi_{\tau'}(U_1) = I$. Consequently, we may without loss of generality assume $\pi_\tau(U_1) = I$.

Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ denote the standard basis vectors of $\mathbf{C}^n$. Let $i, j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, with $i \neq j$. Since $\text{rank}(X) = 2$, there are $c_1, c_i, c_j \in \mathbf{C}$ with $c_1 \neq 0$ such that $X(c_1 e_1 + c_i e_i + c_j e_j) = 0$. By Remark 2.9, we have $\pi_\tau(c_1 I + c_i U_i + c_j U_j) = 0$. We do not have $c_i = c_j = 0$, so assume $c_i \neq 0$. If $c_j = 0$, then $\pi_\tau(U_i)$ is a scalar multiple of the identity, while if $c_j \neq 0$, then $\pi_\tau(U_i)$ and $\pi_\tau(U_j)$ generate the same C^* -algebra, which is commutative. In either case, we have that the $*$ -algebras generated by $\pi_\tau(U_i)$ and $\pi_\tau(U_j)$ commute with each other. Therefore, $\pi_\tau(\mathfrak{A})$ is commutative, and $X \in \mathcal{C}_n$. $\square$

Corollary 2.11. $\mathcal{G}_4 \neq \Theta_4$.

Proof. Combining Proposition 2.10 and Proposition 2.5, we see that $\mathcal{G}_4$ has no extreme points of rank 2. It will suffice to find an extreme point X of Θ_4 with $\text{rank}(X) = 2$. By Proposition 2.4, it will suffice to find four unit vectors $f_1, \dots, f_4$ spanning $\mathbf{C}^2$ such that the only self-adjoint $Z \in M_2(\mathbf{C})$ satisfying $\langle Z f_i, f_i \rangle = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 4$ is the zero matrix. It is easily verified that the frame

$$f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_4 = \begin{pmatrix} i/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

does the job, and, with $F = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)$, this yields the matrix

$$X = F^* F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 & \frac{1+i}{2} \\ \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1-i}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Theta_4 \setminus \mathcal{G}_4. \quad (3)$$

$\square$

Remark 2.12. We cannot have $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{F}_n$ for all n , because by an easy a modification of Kirchberg's proof of Proposition 4.6 of [6], this would imply that $M_2(\mathbf{C})$ can be

faithfully represented in a commutative von Neumann algebra. (This argument shows that for some n there must be two-by-two unitaries $V_1, \dots, V_n$ such that the matrix $(\text{tr}_2(V_i^* V_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{C}_n$.) In fact, in Proposition 3.6 we will show $\mathcal{F}_6 \neq \mathcal{C}_6$. However, we don't know whether $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{C}_n$ holds or not for $n = 4$ or $n = 5$.

3. REAL MATRICES

The main result of this section is the following, which easily follows from the usual representation of the Clifford algebra.

Theorem 3.1. *For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have*

$$M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n.$$

We first recall the representation of the Clifford algebra. Let Λ be a linear map from a real Hilbert space H into the bounded, self-adjoint operators $B(\mathcal{K})_{s.a.}$, for some complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, satisfying

$$\Lambda(x)\Lambda(y) + \Lambda(y)\Lambda(x) = 2\langle x, y \rangle I_H, \quad (x, y \in H). \quad (4)$$

The real algebra generated by range of Λ is uniquely determined by H and called the real Clifford algebra.

Consider a real Hilbert space H of finite dimension r with its canonical basis $\{e_i\}$. Let

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the real Clifford algebra of H has the following representation by $2^r \times 2^r$ matrixes

$$\Lambda(x) = \sum \lambda_i U^{\otimes i-1} \otimes V \otimes I_2^{\otimes (n-i)},$$

where $x = \sum \lambda_i e_i$. It is easy to check that the relation (4) is satisfied. Moreover if $\|x\| = 1$ then $\Lambda(x)$ is symmetry, i.e. $\Lambda(x)^* = \Lambda(x)$ and $\Lambda(x)^2 = I$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let r be the rank of X . By Lemma 2.1, there are unit vectors $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{R}^r$ such that $X_{i,j} = \langle f_i, f_j \rangle$ for all i and j . Taking Λ as described above, we get $2^r \times 2^r$ unitary matrices $\Lambda(f_i)$ (in fact, they are symmetries), and from (4) we have $\text{tr}(\Lambda(f_i)\Lambda(f_j)) = \langle f_i, f_j \rangle$. $\square$

Below is the result for real matrices that is entirely analogous to Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $X \in M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n$ and let P be the support projection of X . A necessary and sufficient condition for X to be an extreme point of $M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n$ is that there be no nonzero Hermitian real $n \times n$ matrix Y having zero diagonal and satisfying $Y = PYP$. Consequently, if X is an extreme point of $M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n$ and $r = \text{rank}(X)$, then $r(r+1)/2 \leq n$.*

Proof. This is just like the proof of Proposition 2.3, the only difference being that the dimension of $PM_n(\mathbf{R})_{s.a.}P$ for a projection P of rank r is $r(r+1)/2$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *If $n \leq 5$, then*

$$M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n. \quad (5)$$

Proof. From Proposition 3.2, we see that every extreme point X of $M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n$ for $n \leq 5$ has rank $r \leq 2$. But $X \in \mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{G}_n$, by Theorem 3.1, so using Proposition 2.10, it follows that all extreme points of $M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n$ lie in $\mathcal{C}_n$. Since $\mathcal{C}_n$ is closed and convex (see Proposition 2.5), the inclusion (5) follows. $\square$

Of course, we also have the result for real matrices (and real frames) that is analogous to Proposition 2.4, which is stated below. The proof is the same.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $X \in M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n$. Suppose $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is a frame consisting of n unit vectors in $\mathbf{R}^r$, where $r = \text{rank}(X)$, so that $X = F^*F$ with $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is the corresponding frame operator. (See Lemma 2.1.) Then X is an extreme point of $M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n$ if and only if the only real Hermitian $r \times r$ matrix Z satisfying $\langle Zf_j, f_j \rangle = 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is the zero matrix.*

Although Corollary 3.3 shows that every element of $M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n$ for $n \leq 5$ is in the closed convex hull of the rank one operators in Θ_n , it is not true that every element of $M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n$ lies in the closed convex hull of rank one operators in $M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_n$, even for $n = 3$, as the following example shows.

Example 3.5. Consider the frame

$$f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of three unit vectors in $\mathbf{R}^2$. It is easily verified that the only real Hermitian 2×2 matrix Z such that $\langle Zf_i, f_i \rangle = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$ is the zero matrix. Thus, by Proposition 3.4,

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a rank-two extreme point of $M_3(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_3$. However, an explicit decomposition as a convex combination of rank one operators in Θ_3 is

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i & \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -i & 1 & \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i & \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ i & 1 & \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 3.6. *We have*

$$M_6(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_6 \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}_6.$$

Thus, we have $\mathcal{F}_6 \neq \mathcal{C}_6$.

Proof. We construct an example of $X \in (M_6(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_6) \setminus \mathcal{C}_6$. In fact, it will be a rank-three extreme point of $M_6(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_6$.

Consider the frame

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & f_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & f_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ f_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & f_5 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, & f_6 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

of six unit vectors in $\mathbf{R}^3$. It is easily verified that the only real Hermitian 3×3 matrix Z such that $\langle Zf_i, f_i \rangle = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ is the zero matrix. Thus, by Proposition 3.4,

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a rank-three extreme point of $M_6(\mathbf{R}) \cap \Theta_6$. The nullspace of X is spanned by the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, -1, 0, 0)^t \\ v_2 &= (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, -1, 0)^t \\ v_3 &= (\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 0, 0, -1)^t. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose, to obtain a contradiction, that we have $X \in \mathcal{C}_6$. Then there is a commutative C^* -algebra $A = C(\Omega)$ with a faithful tracial state τ and there are unitaries $I = U_1, U_2, \dots, U_6 \in A$ such that $X = (\tau(U_i^* U_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 6}$. Taking the vectors v_1, v_2 and v_3 , above, by Remark 2.9 we have

$$U_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(U_1 + U_2) \tag{6}$$

$$U_5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(U_2 + U_3) \tag{7}$$

$$U_6 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(U_1 + U_2 + U_3). \tag{8}$$

Fixing any $\omega \in \Omega$, we have that $\zeta_j := U_j(\omega)$ is a point on the unit circle $\mathbf{T}$, ($1 \leq j \leq 6$). From (6) and $|\zeta_4| = 1$, we get $\zeta_1 = \pm i \zeta_2$ and similarly from (7) we get $\zeta_3 = \pm i \zeta_2$. However, from (8), we then have

$$\zeta_6 \in \left\{ \frac{1-2i}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta_2, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta_2, \frac{1+2i}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta_2 \right\},$$

which contradicts $|\zeta_6| = |\zeta_2| = 1$. □

4. NONEMPTY INTERIOR

In this section, we show that the interior of $\mathcal{F}_n$ and, in fact, of $\mathcal{C}_n$, is nonempty, when considered as a subset of Θ_n . (Since $\mathcal{C}_n = \Theta_n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3$, this needs proving only for $n \geq 4$.)

Given $X \in \Theta_n$, let

$$\begin{aligned} a_X &= \sup\{t \in [0, 1] \mid tX + (1-t)I \in \mathcal{F}_n\} \\ c_X &= \sup\{t \in [0, 1] \mid tX + (1-t)I \in \mathcal{C}_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, $c_X \leq a_X$. We now show that c_X is bounded below by a nonzero constant that depends only on n . In particular, we have that the identity element lies in the interior of $\mathcal{C}_n$, when this is taken as a subset of the affine space of self-adjoint matrices having all diagonal entries equal to 1.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \geq 3$, and let $X \in \Theta_n$. Then*

$$c_X \geq \frac{6}{n^2 - n}. \quad (9)$$

Moreover, if λ_0 is the smallest eigenvalue of X , then

$$c_X \geq \min\left(\frac{6}{(n^2 - n)(1 - \lambda_0)}, 1\right). \quad (10)$$

Proof. We have $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ with $x_{ii} = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote $G = \{\sigma \in S_n \mid \sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \sigma(3)\}$. Then

$$\#G = \binom{n}{3}(n-3)!$$

Let $U_\sigma = (u_{ij})$ be the permutation unitary matrix where $u_{ij} = \delta_{i, \sigma(i)}$. Then $U^* X U = (x_{\sigma^{-1}(i)\sigma^{-1}(j)})_{i,j}$. Define the block-diagonal matrix

$$B_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)} & x_{\sigma(1)\sigma(3)} \\ x_{\sigma(2)\sigma(1)} & 1 & x_{\sigma(2)\sigma(3)} \\ x_{\sigma(3)\sigma(1)} & x_{\sigma(3)\sigma(2)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus I_{n-3}.$$

Using Corollary 2.8 (and Remark 2.7), we easily see $B_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$.

Let $J_\sigma = \{(\sigma(1), \sigma(2)), (\sigma(1), \sigma(3)), (\sigma(2), \sigma(3))\}$. Put $X_\sigma = U^* B_\sigma U$. Then

$$(X_\sigma)_{k\ell} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (k, \ell) \notin \{(1, 1), \dots, (n, n)\} \cup J_\sigma, \\ 1, & \text{if } k = \ell \\ x_{k\ell}, & \text{if } (k, \ell) \in J_\sigma. \end{cases}$$

Since for any $k < \ell$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(1) = k, \sigma(2) = \ell \text{ or } \sigma(1) = k, \sigma(3) = \ell \text{ or } \sigma(2) = k, \sigma(3) = \ell\} = \\ ((n - \ell) + (\ell - k - 1) + (k - 1))(n - 3)! = (n - 2)! \end{aligned}$$

it follows that matrix

$$X' = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\sigma \in G} X_\sigma$$

has entries $x'_{ii} = 1$, and $x'_{k\ell} = \frac{6}{n^2 - n} x_{k\ell}$ if $k \neq \ell$.

Since $\mathcal{C}_n$ is closed under conjugating with permutation matrices, we have $X_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$ for all $\sigma \in G$. But then the average X' also belongs to $\mathcal{C}_n$. This implies (9).

Now (10) is an easy consequence of (9). Indeed, if $\lambda_0 = 1$, then X is the identity matrix and $c_X = 1$. If $\lambda_0 < 1$, then let $Y = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_0}(X - \lambda_0 I)$. We have $Y \in \Theta_n$, and

$$(1-t)I + tY = (1 - \frac{t}{1-\lambda_0})I + \frac{t}{1-\lambda_0}X.$$

This implies $c_X \geq \min(1, \frac{c_Y}{1-\lambda_0})$. □

Given an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, let $\bar{A}$ denote matrix whose (i, j) entry is the complex conjugate of a_{ij} . If A is self-adjoint, then so is $\bar{A}$, and these two matrices have the same eigenvalues (and multiplicities). Consequently, $A - \bar{A}$ has spectrum that is symmetric about zero.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $X \in \Theta_n$ and let $d > 0$ be such that*

$$I + d \left(\frac{X - \bar{X}}{2} \right) \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Then $a_X \geq d/(d+1)$. If $n \leq 5$ and

$$I + d \left(\frac{X - \bar{X}}{2} \right) \in \mathcal{C}_n, \tag{11}$$

then $c_X \geq d/(d+1)$.

Proof. The matrix $(X + \bar{X})/2$ is real and lies in Θ_n . Using Theorem 3.1, we have $(X + \bar{X})/2 \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{d+1}I + \frac{d}{d+1}X = \frac{1}{d+1} \left(I + d \left(\frac{X - \bar{X}}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{d}{d+1} \left(\frac{X + \bar{X}}{2} \right) \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

If $n \leq 5$ and (11) holds, then we similarly apply Corollary 3.3. □

Example 4.3. Consider the matrix X as in (3), from Corollary 2.11. From Proposition 4.1 and closedness of $\mathcal{F}_n$, we know $\frac{1}{2} \leq c_X \leq a_X < 1$. It would be interesting to know the precise value of a_X , in order to have a concrete example of an element on the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_4$ in Θ_4 .

Since

$$\frac{X - \bar{X}}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{i}{2} \\ -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has norm $\sqrt{3}/2$ and since it is conjugate by a permutation matrix to an element of $M_3(\mathbf{C}) \oplus \mathbf{C}$, using Corollary 2.8 we have that (11) holds with $d = 2/\sqrt{3}$. A slightly better value is obtained by letting Y be the result of conjugation of X with the

diagonal unitary $\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, e^{-i\pi/4})$. Then

$$\frac{Y - \overline{Y}}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{i}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has norm $1/\sqrt{2}$ and similarly yields $d = \sqrt{2}$. Applying Lemma 4.2 gives $c_X = c_Y \geq \sqrt{2}/(1 + \sqrt{2}) \approx 0.586$.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank Vern Paulsen for kindly directing them to the literature on extreme correlation matrices.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. BROWN, Connes' embedding problem and Lance's WEP, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2004**, 501-510.
- [2] J.P.R. CHRISTENSEN, J. VESTERSTRØM, A note on extreme positive definite matrices, *Math. Ann.* **244** (1979), 65-68.
- [3] B. COLLINS, K. DYKEMA, A linearization of Connes' embedding problem, *New York J. Math.* **14** (2008), 617-641.
- [4] R. GRONE, S. PIERCE, W. WATKINS, Extremal correlation matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **134** (1990), 63-70.
- [5] K. JUSCHENKO, S. POPOVYCH, Algebraic reformulation of Connes embedding problem and the free group algebra, preprint.
- [6] E. KIRCHBERG, On non-semisplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group C^* -algebras, *Invent. Math.* **112** (1993), 449-489.
- [7] I. KLEP, M. SCHWEIGHOFER, Connes' embedding conjecture and sums of Hermitian squares, *Adv. Math.* **217** (2008), 1816-1837.
- [8] C-K. LI, B-S. TAM, A note on extreme correlation matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **15** (1994), 903-908.
- [9] R. LOEWY, Extreme points of a convex subset of the cone of positive semidefinite matrices, *Math. Ann.* **253** (1980), 227-232.
- [10] N. OZAWA, About the QWEP conjecture, *Internat. J. Math.* **15** (2004), 501-530.
- [11] G. PISIER, A simple proof of a theorem of Kirchberg and related results on C^* -norms, *J. Operator Theory* **35** (1996), 317-335.
- [12] F. RĂDULESCU, Convex sets associated with von Neumann algebras and Connes' approximate embedding problem, *Math. Res. Lett.* **6** (1999), 229-236.
- [13] F. RĂDULESCU, A comparison between the max and min norms on $C^*(F_n) \otimes C^*(F_n)$, *J. Operator Theory* **51** (2004), 245-253.
- [14] F. RĂDULESCU, Combinatorial aspects of Connes's embedding conjecture and asymptotic distribution of traces of products of unitaries, *Operator Theory 20*, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., **6**, Theta, Bucharest, 2006 pp. 197-205.
- [15] F. RĂDULESCU, A non-commutative, analytic version of Hilbert's 17-th problem in type II_1 von Neumann algebras, preprint, arXiv:math/0404458.
- [16] D.V. VOICULESCU, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory. II. *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), 411-440.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368, USA

E-mail address: kdykema@math.tamu.edu, juschenko@math.tamu.edu